NEWS **GAMES REVIEWS** RECOMMENDED **TRAILERS PODCASTS STREAMING ABOUT DEALS** Send us a Tip

# IGDA makes opposition statement to King's 'Candy' trademarks



by Danny Cowan (11 months ago)

Share:



The International Game Developers Association has issued a statement opposing King's recent Candy Crush Saga trademark filing, criticizing the developer's "predatory" attempt at owning and controlling the words "candy" and "saga" across all gaming platforms.

The organization plans to leverage its Business and Legal Special Interest Group to investigate the filing. King's "candy" trademark was recently approved for publishing by the US Patent and Trademark Office, giving developers and organizations 30 days to oppose the mark's final publication.

"While we understand and respect the appropriate exercise of Trademark rights," IGDA executive director Kate Edwards said. "King's overreaching filing in its application for the Trademark for its game 'Candy Crush Saga,' and its predatory efforts to apply that mark to each separate word contained in that name, are in opposition to the values of openness and cooperation we support industry wide, and directly contradict the statement King's CEO, Riccardo Zacconi, made on January 27th."

Edwards continued: "Our Business and Legal Special Interest Group will be providing a more comprehensive analysis of this issue from its perspective soon."

King targeted indie developer Stoic Studio last year, claiming that its title The Banner Saga was "confusingly and deceptively similar to [King's] previously used Saga marks." King relented in the following months, stating that it "has not and is not trying to stop Banner Saga from using

# **BREAKING NEWS**

MORF →



Stream Xbox One games to any Windows 10 device later this year

# **FEATURED STORIES**



Design ideas we love and want more of in 2015 Posted on Jan 27th 2015 8:15AM



On my iPad: Helix Posted on Jan 26th 2015 11:00AM



On track with the first three hours of The Witcher 3: Wild Hunt

Posted on Jan 26th 2015 10:00AM

Tags: android, candy-crush-saga, copyright, igda, ios, ipad, iphone, king, mobile, opposition

# **Recommended For You**



31 comments

Nintendo halting

- livefyre

Sign in 1 person listening

+ Follow Share Post comment as...

Newest | Oldest | Top Comments



### **Daemoroth**

Feb 21, 2014

It shouldn't be possible to trademark single words that are in the Webster/Oxford dictionaries, simple as that. Candy Crush, yes, Candy Crush Saga, yes. But Candy individually? And for BOTH games AND clothing? CLOTHING!?!? That throws the whole "we have a product in that space" out the f\*cking window.

WTF is wrong with the people who approved that application!?

Like Reply



---1

Feb 19, 2014

IGDA could've used a good copy-editor. This is really just an awful sentence:

""King's overreaching filing in its application for the Trademark for its game 'Candy Crush Saga,' and its predatory efforts to apply that mark to each separate word contained in that name, are in opposition to the values of openness and cooperation we support industry wide, and directly contradict the **statement** King's CEO, Riccardo Zacconi, made on January 27th."

If you're going to stand up to Candy Crush, put a little spine in your language.

1 Like Reply



arc\_salvo

Feb 18, 2014

Honestly, I feel like they only have the right to trademark "Candy Crush" and "Candy Crush Saga". Anything beyond that just seems unwarranted.



RicharddeLeonIII

King.com can die in a fire. Hopefully they crash as hard as Zynga did (tho zynga still has some more falling to do).





sjone121212

Feb 18, 2014

Feb 18, 2014

I sometimes wonder if Riccardo and Marc Pincus aren't the same person. Or perhaps they'll join forces in coming years and combine to form Mega-Douche.

1 Like Reply



GameBro \*Applause\* Feb 18, 2014

3 Like Reply



gibbeynator

Feb 18, 2014

It's a good start, but there needs to be more outrage. This is the company that bought up an unrelated IP with the sole intent of shutting down a competing product that they couldn't shut down through a standard trademark infringment suit.

11 Mulling Like Reply



carg0

Feb 18, 2014

it's about time someone took these a\*\*holes to task.

3 Like Reply



JeffHeffner

Feb 18, 2014

Dibs on Flappy Candy Saga

2 Like Reply



ceramicsaturn

Feb 18, 2014

Reminds me of when that guy tried to own the word "Edge". And we all know what happened to him...

May the same thing happen to these legal happy jerks.

1 Like Reply



woody24

Feb 18, 2014

Well, hopefully my new game will get finished after all. "Angry Candy Bird Saga."

2 Like Reply



GameBro

Feb 18, 2014

@woody24 You may wanna throw "Scrolls" in there somewhere.

3 **■ ♦ E** Like Reply



woody24

Feb 18, 2014

**@GameBro @woody24** Revised my game title. "Angry Candy Birds Scrolls Mickey Mouse Han Solo iPod Saga"

4 **2 2 ♦ \$\alpha\$** Like Reply



GameBro

Feb 18, 2014

@woody24 @GameBro Haha, there you go.

Like Reply



xenomorphic327

Feb 19, 2014

@woody24 @GameBro You forgot to put the word "Flappy" in that as well



iamtherawk Feb 18, 2014

Well that's good, hopefully they're able to fix this with their big money relatively soon, as I know at least one indie game maker who is worried about them trying to trademark "Saga".

Like Reply



**Space** Feb 18, 2014

I'm gonna make an indie game and copyright the word "Dead"

2 Like Reply



Courtney Feb 18, 2014

"King **relented** in the following months, stating that it "has not and is not trying to stop *Banner Saga* from using its name.""

Joystiq, if you're going to drop this line in there, at least be clear. Last report I saw was that King is still opposing the trademark for The Banner Saga, preventing Stoic from being able to trademark their own game name. It's more complex than them just saying it's fine for them to use that name.

11 Like Reply



TimN Feb 18, 2014

But no opposition to them completely stealing the whole game from an independent developer and then going after him for trademark infringement?





Mogan Feb 18, 2014

"IGDA makes opposition statement to King's 'Candy' trademarks"

A classier way of saying, "The IGDA has called King on their bull\*\*\*\*."

9 📗 👤 🌉 Like Reply



YourColourTV Feb 18, 2014

This better go through, or else I cant release my action-puzzler 'Candy Bird Z'

3 Like Reply



Riavan Feb 18, 2014

Thing is since they are not using candy or saga on its own, it would likely never be enforceable in court. They are just bullying small companies with legal letters and empty threats.





amberion2dp

Feb 18, 2014

@Riavan If it was an empty threat, then people would just ignore it.

1 Like Reply



Riavan

Feb 18, 2014

@amberion2dp @Riavan not everyone understands the trade mark system. The reason banner saga was successfully opposed was likely due to them not knowing how to act or not wanting to pay an attorney etc.

2 Like Reply



amberion2dp

Feb 18, 2014

@Riavan @amberion2dp that's why we have lawyers. If it was an empty threat, the lawyer would look at it and say 'this is an empty threat, ignore it.' Except it's not an empty threat, and they can't afford to ignore it

2 Like Reply

amberion2dp



@Riavan @amberion2dp I can guarantee you that they had a lawyer look at that letter. It costs nothing but an hour of a lawyer's time to ask 'is this something we need to worry about?' The lawyer may bill them for the hour, he/she may not. It would be foolish of them not to.

1 Like Reply



### Riavan

Feb 18, 2014

@amberion2dp @Riavan strange argument. Which lawyer said it wasn't an empty threat? I don't remember any lawyers saying otherwise, just a lot of fear mongering and pandering by gaming news sites.

Like Reply



Feb 18, 2014

@amberion2dp @Riavan problem is, you need to see a trade mark attorney or an IP specialist, chances are your run of the mill lawyer does not have in depth experience in this area.

Like Reply



# amberion2dp

Feb 18, 2014

@Riavan @amberion2dp allow me to clarify my argument, as it seems to have gotten confused in the jumble. First: these letters are likely legally valid and not empty threats. Second, in order to determine that, they(the developers of the banner saga) will likely have to pay the legal fees to speak to a trademark specialist(i agree this is probably going to be necessary). If they do NOT do this, then they're being foolish. Just pay the bill and be done. It's far cheaper to do this now rather than ignore the letter and pay more later. And I think you're blowing the cost of such a consultation out of proportion. You're speaking from the perspective of an individual, with the resources of an individual. Such legal fees would be paid for by the developer or the publisher(not sure which if any in the specific case of the banner saga developer). It's a lot easier to budget for such a thing within the umbrella of a company.

1 Like Reply



# Courtney @Riavan

Feb 18, 2014

Not empty, they did legally oppose the trademark for The Banner Saga, making life more difficult for Stoic.

4 Like Reply



@Courtney @Riavan you oppose at the trademarks office, it never went to court. Opposing other trade marks is different to trying to stop other people using similar ones in the marketplace. In either case, an argument and evidence that they are not using the trademark as applied for would have gone a long way, I'm assuming stotic just did nothing when they got a notice of opposition.

Like Reply

# **Engadget**

# Microsoft's white space internet aims to

improve ...

British thieves stole DVDs from a supermarket by ...

Google agrees to make its privacy policy clearer ...

# **TUAW**

# Flickr Find: It's Apple Time! ...

Learn the mental part of defense with Baseball ...

Today in the App Store -- the best free apps, new ...

### Massively

# Crowfall maps its universe, tells Forgemaster ...

The Daily Grind: What do you love about

MMORPGs ...

# WoW

Around Azeroth: Meat for the meat god ...

Breakfast Topic: My first character ...

WoW Moviewatch: That's the World of Warcraft That ...

The Think Tank: Repairing the 'social' in

© 2015 AOL Inc. All rights Reserved. Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Trademarks | AOL A-Z HELP | About Our Ads | Advertise With Us